

University Senate Planning and Resources (P&R) Meeting Minutes 10/9/17

Agenda

1. Introductions
2. Review Charge
3. Form work-plan and schedule

Present:

Chair: Scott McEathron, Libraries, (2019)

Faculty: Stacey Swearingen White, Urban Planning (2018)

Faculty: Ron Barrett-Gonzalez, Aerospace Eng. (2019)

Staff: Heather MacBean, Libraries (2020)

Staff: Kendyl Grender, University Career Center, (2020)

Deb Teeter, Director, Office of Insti. Rsch and Planning (Ex-officio)

Absent

Faculty: Katherine Clark, History (2020)

Staff: Deb Deering, College & Professional Sch SSC (2018)

Student & Vice Chair: Reagan Walsh

Graduate Student: Brodie Herrman

Ex-officio: Diane Goddard, Vice Provost, Administration and Finance

1. Introductions
2. Review Charge

Changes we want/need to make from Charge

- Challenges in regards to unit compact meetings—lack of long-term view of how that unit has evolved. Snapshot but not showing growth, change, challenges in the long-term (3 of standing charge)
 - For example, 5 years of change more important to show
- The Provost meeting where Deans presented their plans would have been a more valuable meeting
- Meetings with individual units we get more depth but we lacked context
- Need to sit on the dean/provost meetings prior to the departmental meetings
- *Scott—to send email to Provost about P&R Committee attending these meetings*
- Does P&R need to be at the meeting? What are we supposed to be getting out of them?
 - Change from P&R representative going, to the Governance from unit's equivalent to planning and resources committee to go instead. More useful to the overall goals.
- Challenge of when P&R meeting and goals happen and when the larger university policy meets and creates goals
- *Ron move, Stacey second—move to recommend that the departmental governance committees go to the meeting rather than P&R committee*

- *Those without committees can just elect and send a representative*
 - *Need to make a recommendation rather than you have to go*
 - *Larger question is what the purpose of us being at the meeting*
 - *Amendment to the proposal: Propose to attend the individual meetings instead to make sense of the subsequent meetings*
 - *Issue: Need to make sure that all areas are equally distributed*
 - *MOTION: We recommend that other governance (school and college) units send representatives (Committee or otherwise) to the budget compacts.*
 - *PASSES*
 - Status of international student recruitment, plans forward is more direct recruitment
3. Need for small groups to work together to the bring ideas back
- These need to be specific ideas that have recommendations attached
 - *Scott Proposes we get into smaller work groups and address both the specific and standing charges, where they are connected/supported.*
 - *Specific Charge #1: Ron, Katherine*
 - *Group to brainstorm benefits of Specific Charge #1 and sub-committee will go more in depth*
 - *Specific Charge #2: Scott, Kendyl*
 - *Specific Charge #3: Stacey, Heather*
 - *NEEDS A COMMITTEE: Deb, Student Member, Reagan, Graduate Student*